

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,184	FRISKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney, Erin C. Ming (Reg. No. 47,797).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9/12/06
Time: 1:00PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 21, 27, 33

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case and the representative agreed with the proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)